

REMARKS

In response to the Final Office Action dated June 21, 2007, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration based on the attached amendment and the following remarks.

Claims 1-6 are pending in the present Application. Claims 5 and 6 have been cancelled, leaving claims 1-4 for consideration upon entry of the present amendment.

The Applicants thank the Examiner for the indication that claims 1-4 are allowed.

Applicants respectfully submit that the claims as presented here are in condition for allowance. No new matter has been added by the amendment.

Drawings

The Examiner has objected to the Drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The Examiner states that the Drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. In particular, the Examiner states that all of the claimed features, e.g., the electrodes, the two reservoirs, etc., of claims 5 and 6 must be shown and labeled or the features canceled from the claims.

Claims 5 and 6 have been cancelled in order to cancel the features not shown in the Drawings, thus rendering the objection to the Drawings moot.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112

The Examiner has rejected claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In particular, the Examiner alleges that the limitation in claim 6, line 1, “the means for measuring the amplitude of oscillation of the interface” lacks sufficient antecedent basis.

Claim 6 has been cancelled, thus rendering any rejection thereto moot.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102(b)

The Examiner has rejected claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Benvegnu et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,900,130 A (hereinafter “Benvegnu”). The Examiner states that Benvegnu discloses all of the elements of claim 5 primarily in FIG. 1a and Col. 4, lines 15-64.

Claim 5 has been cancelled, thus rendering any rejection thereto moot.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the instant application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that this application be allowed and a Notice of Allowance issued. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference with Applicant's attorneys would be advantageous to the disposition of this case, the Examiner is cordially requested to telephone the undersigned.

In the event the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks deems additional fees to be due in connection with this application, Applicant's attorney hereby authorizes that such fee be charged to Deposit Account No. 06-1130.

Respectfully submitted,

CANTOR COLBURN LLP

By: /James J. Merrick/
James J. Merrick
Registration No. 43,801
Confirmation No. 9598
Cantor Colburn LLP
55 Griffin Road South
Bloomfield, CT 06002
Telephone (860) 286-2929
Customer No. 23413

Date: August 21, 2007